Info-ParaNet Newsletters Volume I Number 372

Tuesday, March 5th 1991

Today's Topics:

replies
(none)
NASA plans nuclear propulsion research
MORE RANTINGS!!
Re: Lazar end.
Belgium Update #2, military is hunting UFO's
Coverup?
Commander X
Coverup?
ALTERNATIVE 3
Batman?
Area 51
Kecksburg
magnetic anomalies
Re: Phenomenon Framed Again!
Tesla, Keely, et. al.
Tesla, Keely, Et. Al.
Hat Tunes from the Play-dees
Re: Lazar End.

From: vm1.yorku.ca!YSCS1296
Subject: replies
Date: 3 Mar 91 22:18:04 GMT

From: User <YSCS1296@vm1.yorku.ca>

Brief replies:
-
As to which 'jerk scientists', I'm just generally referring to people like the one on this latest ABC best-kept-secrets episode, who made fun of the 'witnesses' psychology as requiring attention, etc. Another example is the scientist on the unsolved-mysteries mars-face show, referring to the kermit-the-frog rock formation. It really isn't simply the making-fun-of people part, but the brushing aside of the situation into the realm of the silly, absurd, and outrageously funny, instead of giving some of the UFO witnesses/etc. some dignity - I mean, whether or not it's all UFOs or not, is besides the point, because these people deserve at least to be listened to and seeing UFOs or something they thought were UFOs does not mean they are to be branded as weirdos (and it takes some guts to come forward). Also, I recall an old documentary in which Carl Sagan himself, who used to be a hero of mine in my more youthful days of Cosmos, and whatever else, stated that there was 'not a smidgeon of evidence' for extra-terresterial intelligence on Earth (and, for that matter, anywhere else). Is that a proper stance? Not even a smidgeon? Hmmmm... maybe anything less than billions of billions is insignificant for Sagan (albeit him doing this

SETI thing on the side - probably to entertain the more insistent and/or ignorant of the ET fans).
-
ASIDE: Anyone know what's happened to the L5 society? L5 is a group for the advancement of space colonies technology. How do they factor in to the 'new and improved' UFO scenario/story?
-
As for the gov't covering up stuff... I did not mean the gov't only or in a specific manner - I meant more a general 'higher authority' on Earth. e.g., the Mars Face special that was taken off the air, and an edited (read, CENSORED) version 'real soon now'; etc. I don't believe there is a sweeping and thorough, and strict coverup, but I do believe that there is one to a certain extent, and not only confined within the parameters of the gov't itself and its various arms (since there really aren't any such parameters, except in the imagination and naievty of the general public).
-
Again, I hope we get to see this Hattonn stuff.
By the way, is it just recently that there is so much UFO material in the media? I mean more along the lines of pseudo-serious study of the subject and not only 'Joe saw a UFO last night. Now, in other news...' And if so, why now? Why this past year? Can we expect much more soon?

From: ecn.purdue.edu!lush
Subject: (none)
Date: 4 Mar 91 02:22:26 GMT

From: lush@ecn.purdue.edu (Gregory B Lush)

First of all, I want to thank all those people who told me that you love me in the last newsletter. It's nice to know that someone besides my mother loves me.

I have two comments. First, no one in this newsgroup has read any of the America West publications and further no one in the newsgroup knows me in any way. I therefore find it impossible to understand how one can call me gullible, another call the information 'dogma' (Webster: a point of view put forth as authoritative without adequate grounds), or identify the information as false. I guess I, in my ignorance, always considered it proper to read something before deciding it false; silly me.

(If you want gullible, how about the people who think that we can kill/maim/take prisoner hundreds of thousands of war-experienced Iraqi soldiers while we suffer a couple dozen casualties.)

Second, if you don't want to read what I have to say, you needn't expose yourself as a leaking hot air balloon. Just ignore me, hit the

space bar or page button a time or two, and I will have mystically vanished.

Someone asked about how to get their info on the net or in this newsletter. America West was, a while ago, asking for volunteers to post their newsletter. I am not willing because I don't have the time or the inclination--take that any way you like. I don't think that the place to start is with their newsletters as it would be like reading and trying to understand the end of a textbook before reading the beginning. They have now 25 books and over 100 newsletters. I don't intend to type in by hand one of their books. If I find a section that can stand on its own and I have some time, I'll type it in. The reason behind this person's request was anonymity. This newsletter doesn't seem very anonymous to me. Why not call America West. You won't be placed on any list. Someone will write your name on an envelope and send you their catalog. If you won't do that much because of fear, and this information is truth, 'they' (all around bad guys, black hats, world planners, and all those other cliches) have already won the battle.

America West HAS been censored (had you heard of them?) as no TV station or national newspaper will accept their ads. The Pleidians say that the scribe (I did NOT call her a channelor nor a psychic) has been killed (heart stopped) several times with 'beam weapons.' They monitor her constantly and can revive her. That is an example of their interfering if directly requested. I heard Dan Rather quote Boris Yeltzin once as saying, 'the KGB has beam weapons that can stop my heart.' Finally, Hatonn (not Hatoon!) said that the World Planners have decided that the Truth is no longer a threat to them. I have no argument with that point. The Planners would not want to censure to the point that people got interested in America West.

How to contact Hatonn? You don't need an appointment. You must go to a quiet place where you can hear your inner voice. Just call on him. I'm not saying he will appear in full 9 1/2 foot radiance; I'm not saying he won't. I think that is his choice. You have to remember that just because the response is not in the form that you expect/want, that doesn't mean the response was not made. Before you do, imagine yourself cloaked in light. Ask an honest question, and listen attentively for the reply. It's easy to call; it's admittedly harder to hear.

I will leave you with something to chew on. I recall in my childhood when watching the lunar modules returning to earth that they had to wait a while for the module to cool while it was in water before opening. Then, the astronauts had to be helped out of the module because weightlessness had screwed up their bearings--they could not walk at all.

I was at Edward's Air Force base when the very first shuttle returned to earth. I remember the immediately captain bounding down the steps and running all about the place like a gazelle. Apparently, one of the announcers on a network commented on his athleticism. This same announcer, a former astronaut or NASA person--in other words, a knowledgeable person, commented when he first saw the shuttle, 'That doesn't look like it's been in space!'

What does this mean? I don't know; you tell me--but be gentle. Remember some people love me.

Greg

From: vanth!jms
Subject: NASA plans nuclear propulsion research
Date: 4 Mar 91 06:16:25 GMT

From: vanth!jms@amix.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

I thought that this message, forwarded from Usenet, might be of interest to everyone here:

+From: henry@zoo.toronto.edu (Henry Spencer)
+Newsgroups: sci.space,sci.space.shuttle
+Subject: space news from Jan 7 AW&ST
+Message-ID: <1991Feb25.013310.8439@zoo.toronto.edu>
+Date: 25 Feb 91 01:33:10 GMT
+Organization: U of Toronto Zoology
+
+[...]
+
+NASA to establish a Nuclear Propulsion Systems Office at Lewis, as a
+joint project with DoE and DoD, to resume work in advanced propulsion.
+[About %$#$%#$%ing time!!!] The official goal is a functional test
+engine by 2005 to support Moon/Mars efforts. Both nuclear-thermal
+[classical nuclear rockets] and nuclear-electric [nuclear-powered
+ion thrusters] are being considered, and the choice will be made late
+this decade. There will also be some funding for high-risk-high-payoff
+concepts like gaseous-core reactors. NASA's last formal nuclear-rocket
+project died in 1973.
+
+[...]
+
+--
+'But this *is* the simplified version | Henry Spencer @ U of Toronto Zoology
+for the general public.'   -S. Harris | henry@zoo.toronto.edu utzoo!henry

--
* From the disk of: | jms@vanth.uucp | 'Glittering prizes and
Jim Shaffer, Jr. | amix.commodore.com!vanth!jms | endless compromises
37 Brook Street | 72750.2335@compuserve.com | shatter the illusion of
Montgomery, PA 17752 | (CompuServe as a last resort)| integrity!' (Rush)

From: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Don Ecker)
Subject: MORE RANTINGS!!
Date: 4 Mar 91 00:59:00 GMT

I am a child of the 60's. I remember very well the day Jack Kennedy was shot, saw both Bob Kennedy and Martin Luther King in Arlington Cemetery in 1965. I can remember seeing the Beatles on Ed Sullivan when they first came to the states in 1964. I liked the 'Doors', Jimi Hendrix, Janis Joplin, and even Harry Chapin. I survived the dawning of the 'Age of Aquarius', went to Viet Nam, came home and waited for the Nam to wear off.

I never experimented with psychedelics--I never dropped acid, and if I felt the need to take a trip, I found a willing girl of like mind, ingested a quart of tequila, and tripped. After Nam I doubted the reality of God, then I heard about Watergate and doubted the reality of my government. When the channeling craze started, I looked at it and figured that it was just a re-hash of the spiritualism from the last century, and decided that if someone wanted me to channel, I would buy cable, it was probably cheaper. I do not eat curds, bark at the moon or listen to much that comes from Sedona, Arizona. However . . . . . .

In the UFO field, some trash, similar to something, oh- say like herpes, ends up being the gift that keeps on giving. . . . . several issues of UFO ago, I started the 'Whistleblower' series, and examined Milton "Bill" Cooper. Unlike many folks who Cooper has dazzled, I and a few other old timers from ParaNet 'knew' Cooper since he first hit the 'airwaves' here on ParaNet back in 1988. Cooper's story kept changing like the weather in Denver, and after a not too long period of time, the old Administrator, Jim Speiser, had enough of Milty and gave him the boot.

Cooper's brand of paranoia encompasses the 'dark side' of ufology, claiming to have seen documents way back when. . . . ol Milt was in Navy Intelligence. Seems like, according to Milty, the little grey critters, (or was it green?) were responsible for everything from drugs in the USA, to high oil prices, the murder of a president, Bill Moore, the CIA, and I guess by extension, maybe even me and UFO Magazine. You see, even though Cooper had not one shred of any type of evidence what so ever for anything he raved about, you either took him at his word, or like me, were an agent of the imperial government, the CIA, or were just flat out a Communist!!

Now, on to New Age. If there were ever a contradiction in ideas, Cooper's brand of UFOlogy had meant the New Age * * * and they loved him in Sedona. Now I always figured that the New Agers were into peace, love, light, brussel sprouts, chanting things like "Free Huey", singing old Dylan songs, and listening to what Baba Ram had to say. Space Brothers were here to save us from us, and crystals are good. Well . . . . . .

A couple of Sedona guru's named Michael and Aurora El-Legion, that

are into the Ashtray Command, Semi and Full - ase (from the Pleiades) Crystal Power Demonstrations, New Age Diets, and Intergalactic Tours, (all out of Sedona by the way) discovered Cooper and really got p.o.ed by the two part Cooper expose. As it happens, they also do a column for the Omega New Age Directory. The content of their column for February was inflammatory to say the least. The editor of this paper called UFO and interviewed Vicki Cooper for their 2/91 issue. that follows this little ranting. What is really interesting however is how they arrive at the conclusion that UFO Mag is a CIA front, (according to the El-Legions they have their reasons) and that Milty Cooper is honest, upright, red blooded and all American. They are also shocked that James Bo Gritz, the former Green Beret Lt. Col. that sent a letter to UFO complaining about Milt Cooper must have been pressured by the CIA to make these unfounded accusations. At anyrate. . . . .

Maybe they should stick to 'Telepathic Channeled messages for the audience', or 'Channeled Readings for the Star People', all paid for advertising by the way for the El-Legions out of the Omega New Age Directory', and leave the UFO investigations to other people more qualified.

For your reading enjoyment....

El-Legions take sides in UFO ROW

In their column UFO Update this month, Michael and Aurora El-Legion bring up the controversy that exists between UFO researcher William Cooper and UFO Magazine.

Cooper has brought to national attention a number of formerly Top Secret documents that indicate that the government is involved in some sort of cover-up concerning UFOs and Aliens.

UFO Magazine is a nationally recognized UFO publication that has always been seen by other publishers as moderate and objective in its reporting.

Recently, Cooper has taken objection to some of the reports appearing in the magazine concerning him. He has made statements accusing it of being a tool of the CIA.

In their column the El-Legions have expressed Cooper's views about UFO Magazine, that it was "set up by the CIA to keep tabs on what is going on in the UFO movement and to create confusion through misinformation"'

Vickie Cooper (no relation) editor

of UFO Magazine, was contacted by the Omega New Age Directory. She agrees that disinformation is a tactic used by intelligence agencies to discredit the UFO movement, but says that is William Cooper who is causing the harm.

"Anyone who pays close attention to the information issuing from individuals such as William Cooper." says Vickie Cooper, "will see clearly- that he is doing what he accuses UFO Magazine of doing, which is to mix pertinent information with complete, unabashed lies.

"What that does is effectively- dilute not only the information people should be paying attention to, but effectively discounts all of UFOlogy and the UFO subject in the eyes of the general public. This is an effective tactic of disinformation that has been used by a number of paid experts in the intelligence and counter-intel- ligence Community according to many fine journalists who have tried to expose the secret government."

In their column, the El-Legions also address a letter written to UFO Magazine by Bo Gritz. Criticizing William Cooper. They find it surprising and wonder if Gritz was pressured into writing it.

Vickie Cooper agrees with Gritz's criticism when he said of William Cooper, "He's hurting the credibility' and honestly of sincere researchers at a time when we are facing a true peril from within."

Further, says Ms. Cooper, "If Michael and Aurora El-Legion and others who claim to do good work in the UFO field cannot see and act on the truth of that, they are only adding to the problem."

I wouldn't expect Vickie Cooper to say anything else," commented Aurora El-Legion. "Of course, she supports the position of her magazine."'

The El-Legions steadfastly maintain that, despite reports and rumors undermining either side in this issue, they remain among the many who support William Cooper, "I think

Cooper is honest and for real, a man of
high integrity," says Aurora El-Legion.
"If I ever see anything that proves
otherwise, I will change my opinion."
    The El-Legions feel that Ms.
Cooper is not competent to speak on
the subject of UFOs because she had
no background in UFO research before
she began publishing UFO Magazine.
    Supporters of UFO Magazine claim
that because she had no background
in research, she was not committed to
one position or another, and is thus a
very impartial publisher.

The End.

--
Don Ecker - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Rick Moen)
Subject: Re: Lazar end.
Date: 2 Mar 91 05:48:11 GMT

> Rick Moen said with skeptical tongue in side of cheek;
>
> > Whoa there, Don. There's something _very wrong_ with
> > accepting this
> > form as evidence of anything at all. I'll be very
> > reassured if you
> > aren't going to need me to tell you what that is, and
> > can/will let the
> > echo know, instead of leaving us with the implication
> > that it
> > constitutes actual evidence.
>
> Whoa there Rick. I do not recall saying ANY SUCH THING. What
> I did say was that we received a fax of the W-2 form in
> question. I did not say that we thought it was genuine.
> However, rest assured. . . . . I am following up on it.

My point was, Don, that you don't need to follow up on it.
There's a very good reason not to accept a W-2 (as opposed
to, say, a bank photocopy of a deposited pay cheque) as evidence.
Do you know why, or would you like me to explain?

(God, I love keeping people in suspense. <grin>)

Best Regards,
Rick M.

--
Rick Moen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Andre.Eichner@f10.n245.z2.FIDONET.ORG (Andre Eichner)
Subject: Belgium Update #2, military is hunting UFO's
Date: 28 Feb 91 11:46:00 GMT

Hi Michael!

> Suddenly the two fighters spotted the intruder on their radar
> screens, appearing like a little bee dancing on the scope. Using
> their joy sticks like a video game, the pilots ordered the
> onboard computers to pursue the target. As soon as lock-on was
> achieved, the target appeared on the screen as a diamond shape,
> telling the pilots that from that moment on the F-16s will remain
> tracking the object automatically. On the screen is indicated the
> object's position, distance and speed. The object was very close
> to the fighters.

> The pilot did not even have time to start this procedure,
> which requires the fighter's radar to stay locked on for at least
> six seconds. But the object had speeded up from an initial
> velocity of 280 KPH to 1,800 KPH, while descending from 3,000
> meters to 1,700 meters...in one second! This fantastic
I think, the UFO has seen, that the fighter-pilot has locked his radar and
the know wath this mean. They must think that the pilot are launching his
missiles after six secounds. I as the UFO's commander did the same:
escape.
This is the fact that UFO's avoid to contact us!
Many films show, what military do with aliens...

cheers
Andre

--
Andre Eichner - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Andre.Eichner@f10.n245.z2.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Coverup?
Date: 3 Mar 91 18:57:00 GMT

> perhaps
> the interruption was a specified censor of various parts of the
> country's
> networks, so to reduce the number of viewers

Doubtful. I suspect you'd find that ABC broke into programming at the same time (GMT or UTC) but it was only in the East that the Secrets show was on.

jbh

--
John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Commander X
Date: 3 Mar 91 18:58:01 GMT

> This is probably an old subject, but does anybody have any
> concrete
> information on this 'Commander X' person who wrote a book for
> Inner Light
> Publications?

It's not concrete, but I believe Commander X is Timothy Green Beckley of UFO Universe and also Inner Light.

jbh

--
John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)

Subject: Coverup?
Date: 3 Mar 91 19:01:02 GMT

> The ABC
> special which chronicled the Gulf Breeze affair was absolutely
> meaningless since there is no way to reference or study the red
> light in the film. For all we know, someone could have been in
> a tree far off from the camera using a flashlight with a red
> lense to increase the sensational value of the program.

I got to see the war news (or lack thereof). ;-)
Anyway, according to the GB Sentinel, quite a few independent witnesses saw the red light at the same time. This incident was preceded by a couple of other similar sighting (within a week or so).

jbh

--
John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Don.Allen@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Don Allen)
Subject: ALTERNATIVE 3
Date: 4 Mar 91 06:49:01 GMT

* Replying to a message originally to All

hh> From: hpvclmd.vcd.hp.com!miked
hh> Date: 28 Feb 91 14:18:39 GMT
hh> Message-ID: <10869@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM>
hh> Newsgroups: info.paranet
hh>
hh> From: Mike Dobbs <miked@hpvclmd.vcd.hp.com>
hh>
hh> A friend of mine showed me a video tape of a TV show that
hh> was shown on
hh> the BBC in June of 1976. It was called ALTERNATIVE 3. The
hh> show
hh> appears to be a documentary about scientists mysteriously
hh> disappearing
hh> from England, a joint US/Russian covert space program
hh> complete with a
hh> base on the moon, and lots of other wild stuff. Does
hh> anybody have
hh> documented proof that this show was a hoax? I have heard
hh> rumors to

hh> that affect but nothing substantial. Also I would like to
hh> write the
hh> BBC to get their statement about the show. Can someone
hh> please provide
hh> me with an address. Thanks.
hh>

This has probably been beat to death, but I dug this up the other day:

Placed in the public domain from the
VANGARD SCIENCES archives on October 28 1989.
Our mailing address is PO BOX 1031, Mesquite, TX 75150.
Voice phone (Jerry 214-324-8741...Ron 214-484-3189
KeelyNet (214) 324-3501

The Truth about Alternative 3
from its author, Leslie Watkins

(This article is taken from the Windwords newsletter)
address not available

In our June issue, we told you about the controversial book Alternative 3, by British author Leslie Watkins. In out attempt to find out if the shocking theories in the book were true, we called Avon Books, the American publisher; they said the book was out of print in the states. We called Penguin Books in London and found that it was listed on their NON-FICTION list. A senior editor there told us that it was officially classified as FICTION BASED ON FACT. The author's agent told us it was most definitely fiction. We wrote to the author himself to try to get the real story, and here is the letter he sent us.

Dear Ms. Dittrich:

Thank you for your letter, which reached me today. Naturally, I am delighted by your interest in Alternative 3 and by the fact that you plan to sell it in the Windwords bookstore. I will certainly cooperate in any way I can.

The correct description of Alternative 3 was given to you by the representative from Penguin Books. The book is based on fact, but uses that fact as a launchpad for a HIGH DIVE INTO FICTION. In answer to your specific questions:

1) There is no astronaut named Grodin.
2) There is no Sceptre Television and the reported Benson is also fictional.

3) There is no Dr. Gerstein.
4) Yes, a "documentary" was televised in June 1977 on Anglia Television, which went out to the entire national network in Britain. It was called Alternative 3 and was written by David Ambrose and produced by Christopher Miles (whose names were on the book for contractual reasons). This original TV version, which I EXPANDED IMMENSELY for the book, was ACTUALLY A HOAX which had been scheduled for transmission on April Fools' Day. Because of certain problems in finding the right network slot, the transmission was delayed.

The TV program did cause a tremendous uproar because viewers refused to believe it was fiction. I initially took the view that the basic premise was so way-out, particularly the way I aimed to present it in the book, that no one would regard it as non-fiction. Immediately after publication, I realized I was totally wrong. In fact, the amazing mountains of letters from virtually all parts of the world-including vast numbers from highly intelligent people in positions of responsibility-convinced me that I had ACCIDENTALLY trespassed into a range of top-secret truths.

Documentary evidence provided by many of these correspondents decided me to write a serious and COMPLETELY NON-FICTION sequel. Unfortunately, a chest containing the bulk of the letters was among the items which were mysteriously LOST IN TRANSIT some four years when I moved from London, England, to Sydney, Australia, before I moved on to settle in New Zealand. For some time after Alternative 3 was originally published, I have reason to suppose that my home telephone was being tapped and my contacts who were experienced in such matters were convinced that certain intelligence agencies considered that I probably knew too much.

So, summing up, the book is FICTION BASED ON FACT. But I now feel that I inadvertently got VERY CLOSE TO A SECRET TRUTH. I hope this is of some help to you and I look forward to hearing from you again.

With best wishes,
Leslie Watkins

Unfortunately, Alternative 3 is no longer available. We (Windwords) bought all the remaining copies from the British publisher and those quickly sold out. If the book is reprinted, you can be sure we'll let you know and we'll carry it in the Windwords bookstore.

--
Don Allen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Don.Allen@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: vm1.yorku.ca!YSCS1296

Subject: Batman?
Date: 4 Mar 91 22:25:01 GMT

From: User <YSCS1296@vm1.yorku.ca>

I wonder how many of you watched HARD COPY's segment on the 'solution'
to all those UFO sightings of late?
Anyway to recap, the 'solution' was that the movie cameras could show the
UFOs by zooming in, and focussing out. The 'bat symbol' appears in all
such instances.
My gripe is that just because the picture turns out like that, which is
not surprising since the folks filming the UFOs probably can't determine
the exact distance to focus onto (ditto for the auto focus mechanism),
and just because all the films turn out similarly (they discounted the
witness who saw a TRIANGULAR UFO - attributed to Aurora Project), does not
mean that the object itself (as distinct from the picture image) is to be
likewise negated as batman's symbol because there had to be SOMETHING for
the camera to be filming... regardless of image outcome.
Just my two bits.

From: vanth!jms
Subject: Area 51
Date: 5 Mar 91 06:22:26 GMT

From: vanth!jms@amix.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

Prior to the showing of 'America's Best-Kept Secrets', there were rumors
that there would be a segment covering Area 51. Does anyone know what
happened to this, or were the rumors just that -- rumors?

* From the disk of: | jms@vanth.uucp | 'Speeding through the
Jim Shaffer, Jr. | amix.commodore.com!vanth!jms | universe, Thinking is
37 Brook Street | uunet!cbmvax!amix!vanth!jms | the best way to travel.'
Montgomery, PA 17752 | 72750.2335@compuserve.com | (The Moody Blues)

From: vanth!jms
Subject: Kecksburg
Date: 5 Mar 91 06:23:13 GMT

From: vanth!jms@amix.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

+From: Mike.Keithly@f70.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Mike Keithly)
+Subject: Kecksburg
+Date: 3 Mar 91 04:49:00 GMT
+
+Whatever happened to that UFO crash in Pennsylvania, last iheard it was a
+Russian Satelite that crashed..   Any new Ideas..

Last I heard, there was *speculation* it could've been a Russian space capsule. If you've heard any evidence for it, I'd love to hear it. (There was also a story that nobody in town believes in it and they tried to tell the UM crew but they wouldn't listen.)

* From the disk of: | jms@vanth.uucp | 'Speeding through the
Jim Shaffer, Jr. | amix.commodore.com!vanth!jms | universe, Thinking is
37 Brook Street | uunet!cbmvax!amix!vanth!jms | the best way to travel.'
Montgomery, PA 17752 | 72750.2335@compuserve.com | (The Moody Blues)

From: vanth!jms
Subject: magnetic anomalies
Date: 5 Mar 91 06:23:59 GMT

From: vanth!jms@amix.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

+From: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
+Subject: Coverup?
+Date: 1 Mar 91 20:30:00 GMT
+
+   I saw an article in my
+local paper describing another Soviet UFO encounter where children were
+involved in the sighting. They described a pyramid-shaped object with the top
+missing jumping in 15 foot increments across a grassy area. The report goes
+on to say that there were no landing traces found other than high magnetic
+readings in the spot that the children described the object as being.

This is something that has been bothering me for a long time: extraordinary magnetic anomalies found at the site of UFO landings. Unless there's a ridiculous amount of iron in the ground, how could a UFO leave any magnetism behind? The only thing I can think of would be for the fundamental structure of the atoms making up the ground to be changed. That's a rather large effect, and something that should be easy to identify! The only other explanation I can think of involves the UFO leaving some sort of 'impression' of itself in the aether. This of course would require that the aether exist in the first place, which is something that isn't accepted by mainstream science.

Anybody else want to take a shot at this?

* From the disk of: | jms@vanth.uucp | 'Speeding through the

Jim Shaffer, Jr. | amix.commodore.com!vanth!jms | universe, Thinking is
37 Brook Street | uunet!cbmvax!amix!vanth!jms | the best way to travel.'
Montgomery, PA 17752 | 72750.2335@compuserve.com | (The Moody Blues)

From: Peggy.Noonan@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Peggy Noonan)
Subject: Re: Phenomenon Framed Again!
Date: 4 Mar 91 18:54:00 GMT

Thanks, John, for the reply <g> about the Isaacs show and UFO programs.
 If we keep this up with delays between messages and both of us reading
so many, neither of us will have any idea what the other is talking
about! :-)
        Seriously, though, thanks for the answer!
                ==Peggy==
--
Peggy Noonan - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Peggy.Noonan@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Tesla, Keely, et. al.
Date: 4 Mar 91 16:58:00 GMT

 > +The Pleidians explain that psychic phenomena and all that is
 > +attached with it, such as remote viewing, is just simple
 > physics.
 > +The receiving of this material is not mystical or channeling.
 > +It is physics that the general populace knows nothing about,
 > but
 > +is really quite simple to them (obviously). Nikola Tesla
 > developed
 > +an understanding of much of this, but the bad guys (whoever
 > THEY are)
 > +got to him, and have hidden a lot of his work.
 >
 > I have been reading quite a bit about this, and also about a
 > man named
 > Keely who was apparently on the trail of the same sort of
 > thing as Tesla,
 > but involving SOUND instead of electromagnetism. Keely also
 > unfortunately
 > expressed everything in his own unique terminology instead of

> conventional
> scientific terms, so his work is inaccessible and frankly
> looks like a
> bunch of nonsense to the uninitiated.

Hi Jim. Actually Tesla wasn't quite as far removed from Keely's ideas as it might appear on the surface.

Keely propounded "sympathetic vibratory physics", and theorized in terms of "sound". Tesla, interestingly, said that "sound, radio, and electromagnetic radiation were all extensions of the same thing" in the 1920s. This was one of the things that finally made him a laughingstock in the scientific community.

Perhaps they were on to the same thing? Worth noting that there is much anecdotal evidence for Keely's devices, but we can't test them today. Supposedly his "airship" is in Chicago somewhere -- interesting to get hold of THAT, wouldn't you say?

> However, I'm not sure that Tesla's ideas were *actively*
> surpressed so much
> as they were *passively* surpressed. That is, as I said
> above, a certain
> type of person seems to be resistant to learning new ideas,
> and resultantly
> fearful of them, much as the opposite type of person revels in
> new ideas.
> It could also have something to do with the fact that Tesla
> didn't have
> enough money to complete his research. Regardless, I think
> the problem is
> largely simple ignorance rather than suppression. How many
> people, for
> example, have actually seen a bladeless turbine in use? How
> many, for that
> matter, know that such a thing even exists? And that it's
> more efficient
> and safer than conventional turbines?
>

Well, I don't know if Tesla turbines are safer than conventional ones because of the stresses involved. Models are much more efficient, however. There's a fellow on KeelyNet who's building one, you know.

As to "suppression" of Tesla's work, I think it was "suppressed" by people who had interests in his earlier work. Or in their own patents. Edison did his best to discredit Tesla -- he was a scurrilous old dog, was Edison. And Westinghouse, after buying Tesla's AC patents for $1 million, might be forgiven for refusing to fund wireless transmission of free electrical power...

As to the psychic components of all this, mentioned in your message, check out the Keely Files MIND1-8 and VEDA. They're about this stuff, including direct brain stimulation via microwave, electromagnetism, etc. One of them is by Persinger, whose electrical football helmet produced repeatable phychic results in Montreal.

Best,
  Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: Tesla, Keely, Et. Al.
Date: 5 Mar 91 05:24:00 GMT

If some of those files aren't too lengthy, you might want to post some of the more interesting ones here in the conference.

Mike

--
Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (John Burke)
Subject: Hat Tunes from the Play-dees
Date: 5 Mar 91 08:45:00 GMT

 * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO"
 * Originally from John Burke
 * Originally dated 03-05-91 1:40

Gregory B. Lush writes:

 >
 > I have two comments. First, no one in this newsgroup
 > has read any of the America West publications and further
 > no

> one in the newsgroup knows me in any way. I therefore find
> it impossible
> to understand how one can call me gullible, another call
> the information
> 'dogma' (Webster: a point of view put forth as
> authoritative
> without adequate grounds), or identify the information as
> false.
> I guess I, in my ignorance, always considered it proper to
> read
> something before deciding it false; silly me.

That's like saying that one must go swimming in a cesspool because the sense of smell provides insufficient data for making a decision on where to bathe. BTW, the claim that the Pleaides is "our sister constellation" would make a wonderful example to be included (for illustrative purposes) in Webster's definition of "dogma".

>
> (If you want gullible, how about the people who think that
> we can
> kill/maim/take prisoner hundreds of thousands of war-
> experienced
> Iraqi soldiers while we suffer a couple dozen casualties.)

I'm not sure what you're getting at here. It sounds like the "we never sent men to the moon" perspective is now being applied to the Gulf War. Someone better tell Bill Cooper!

>
> Second, if you don't want to read what I have to say, you
> needn't
> expose yourself as a leaking hot air balloon. Just ignore
> me, hit the
> space bar or page button a time or two, and I will have
> mystically vanished.

Oh, you'd *love* that wouldn't you! Hatoonism could be broadcast loud and clear without the "static" of rational scrutiny.

Now I know how Rick Moen feels: Dare to question the basis for "Space Brother" dogma and expect an _ad hominem_ attack in return!

> The Pleidians
> say that
> the scribe (I did NOT call her a channelor nor a psychic)
> has been
> killed (heart stopped) several times with 'beam weapons.'

Sorry to hear that!

> Finally, Hatonn (not Hatoon!) . . .

Oops! I'll get it right for the Christmas card!

                                                    -- John

--
John Burke - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Don Ecker)
Subject: Re: Lazar End.
Date: 5 Mar 91 06:34:00 GMT

Clark Matthews said;

 > Hi Don. While you're checking on the W-2, why not
 > spend a couple of dimes checking out Rick Moen?

I did and. . . . .
>
 > I mean, do any of us REALLY know if HE exists? A
 > committee of hydrophobic Mensa misfits could be
 > writing this stuff, you know.

Nope, I found out he was a "Kloned Klass" and sent to
Frisco!! Grin

Don

--
Don Ecker - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

********To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to********
                      'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com
ADMIN Address infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
  {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara-request

******************The**End**of**Info-ParaNet**Newsletter**********************